

PATENT APPLICATION

**MULTI-FREQUENCY PSEUDOLITES  
FOR CARRIER-BASED DIFFERENTIAL-POSITION DETERMINATION**

**Inventors:**

**Kurt R. Zimmerman,**  
a citizen of the United States of America, residing at  
1088 Tulane Drive  
Mountain View, CA 94040

**Clark E. Cohen,**  
a citizen of the United States of America, residing at  
317 El Carmelo Ave.  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

**David G. Lawrence,**  
a citizen of the United States of America, residing at  
2541 Betlo Avenue  
Mountain View, CA 94043

**Walter C. Melton,**  
a citizen of the United States of America, residing at  
2541 Topar Avenue  
Los Altos, CA 94024

**Henry Stewart Cobb,**  
a citizen of the United States of America, residing at  
2696 Miller Ave., Apt. 2  
Mountain View, CA 94040

**Paul Yalden Montgomery,**  
a citizen of Australia, residing at  
224 Robin Way  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

**Assignee:**

**INTEGRINAUTICS CORPORATION,**  
a California Corporation,  
1505 Adams Drive  
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1439

**FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP**

4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187  
(415) 781-1989

# Multi-Frequency Pseudolites for Carrier-Based Differential-Position Determination

The present invention relates generally to positioning systems for vehicles. It relates specifically to precisely determining positions for any land, sea, air, or space vehicle where existing satellite navigation methods such as GPS are inadequate due to limited visibility of its satellites.

10

This application claims the benefit of the following application:

U.S. Patent Application No. 60/178,011, entitled, "GPS

Performance in Deep Open Pit Mines using Pseudolites," filed January 24, 2000, naming Clark E. Cohen et al. as inventors, with Attorney Docket No.

15 P-68861/AJT/LM and commonly assigned to IntegriNautics Corp. of Menlo Park, California.

U.S. Patent Application No. 60/178,011 is incorporated by reference herein.

20

## BACKGROUND

Global positioning system (GPS) satellites transmit two coherent signals at different frequencies generated from a common time and frequency source. A receiver demodulates these signals to recover the underlying carrier frequency signal. The coherency of the two carriers facilitates the recovery of integer wavelength ambiguities in applications using carrier-based differential techniques. Many land applications of GPS (survey, ionospheric research, geophysical surface motion monitoring, etc.) use this technique. Typically, some residual ambiguity results from using the two coherent signals to resolve the integer wavelength ambiguities, and other methods are necessary to accelerate and/or confirm the accuracy

of the ambiguity resolution results.

In a number of applications of carrier-based differential GPS (aircraft automatic landing and open-pit mine equipment positioning, for example), pseudolites augment the GPS satellite signals. In the landing application, the pseudolites facilitate the integer wavelength ambiguity resolution by incorporating the rapid geometry change due to the motion of the airplane close to the nearby pseudolites into the solution for the integer ambiguities. Cohen et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,572,218, describes such an application.

In the open-pit mining application, for example, pseudolites augment the satellites obscured from view by high mine walls and cliffs. Single-frequency pseudolites used in this fashion provide additional code-phase measurements, but they do not aid in rapidly resolving carrier cycle ambiguities: The motion of the vehicles (shovels, trucks, crawlers, etc.) does not provide large geometry changes with respect to the pseudolites in a short period.

Accordingly, an object of this invention is to rapidly resolve integer ambiguity — even without significant vehicle motion relative to the pseudolites.

Another object of the invention is to enhance the integrity and speed of the pseudolite technique described above.

Still another object of the invention is to leverage conventional GPS equipment, including GPS receivers and pseudolites, to reduce the cost of a system.

These and other goals of the invention will be readily apparent to one of skill in the art on reading the background above and the description below.

## SUMMARY

Herein are described apparatus and methods for rapidly resolving integer ambiguities in position determination. An embodiment of the invention includes a reference system, augmented with multi-frequency pseudolites using a carrier phase differential GPS implementation, and a mobile system.

In one embodiment, the reference system may include one or more multi-frequency pseudolites, one or more multi-frequency reference receivers, a data link that is either stand-alone or built in to the pseudolites, and the associated antennae for each of these elements. The components of the reference system may be stationary.

The mobile system may include a multi-frequency receiver and its associated antennae. Because the mobile systems may passively receive information, an unlimited number of mobile systems may be included in any given embodiment of the invention.

A multi-frequency pseudolite uses a single frequency source to synthesize all of the multiple carrier frequencies and all of the multiple base band signals modulated onto carrier frequencies for transmission. The relative timing of all of the carriers and base band signals is constant and stable so no unknown timing drift exists between any of these signals.

In a preferred embodiment, the modulation is a bi-phase pseudo random noise (PRN) sequence from the same family of Gold Codes used by the GPS satellites and the same code is used for all of the several carrier frequencies for any particular pseudolite. Different codes are used for the different pseudolites. The modulation timing is continuous for each pseudolite but the actual transmission of the modulated signals is pulsed to so that the pseudolites do not interfere with each other at the various system receivers.

In the preferred embodiment, the multi-frequency pseudolite includes a multi-frequency reference receiver for synchronizing the



or substitute those calculations with additional calculations accurate to a fraction of a wavelength at each of the other multiple frequencies received at the mobile receiver. By using the multiple frequencies from the several pseudolites in view, the resolution of the wavelength integer ambiguities is nearly instantaneous (within one measurement cycle, typically 0.1 seconds or less) because it does not require a geometry change to accumulate due to either mobile receiver or GPS satellite motion to resolve the ambiguities.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Figure 1** illustrates an open-pit mine incorporating an embodiment of the invention.

**Figure 2** illustrates an open-pit mine incorporating another embodiment of the invention.

**Figure 3** illustrates an indoor positioning system incorporating an embodiment of the invention.

**Figure 4** illustrates a multi-frequency pseudolite according to one embodiment of the invention.

**Figure 5** illustrates a multi-frequency receiver according to one embodiment of the invention.

**Figure 6** shows a performance matrix for all combinations of satellites and pseudolites.

**Figure 7** is a table listing candidate frequency sets for three- and four-Frequency systems incorporating an embodiment of the invention.

**Figure 8** shows the cycle ambiguity probability for a single-frequency system as a nominal case.

**Figure 9** shows some benefits of the dual-frequency (or widelaning) GPS receiver.

**Figures 10 and 11** show that even with no motion in the system, the three and four-frequency designs can reduce the probability of selecting an incorrect cycle ambiguity.

## DESCRIPTION OF SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS

### System Configuration

**Figure 1** illustrates an open-pit mine incorporating an embodiment of the invention. The mining application may include a pit **4**, a mining vehicle **5**, a global navigation satellite system **6** of the art and a multi-frequency pseudolite system **7**.

The pit **4** has walls **41** and a rim **42**.

The mining vehicle **5** includes a MFPS receiver **2**. An MFPS receiver **2** recognizes and uses signals from the MFPS **7**. Anything using a MFPS receiver **2** is a "user" of the MFPS **7**, as the term is used herein. Typically, a user carries a multi-frequency mobile receiver **2** to determine its position.

The multi-frequency pseudolite system **7** may include multi-frequency pseudolites **1-1:1-N**, where N is the number of pseudolites. The pseudolites may be to ensure that four or more pseudolites **1-i** ( $i \leq N$ ) are available and provide good geometric dilution of precision (a factor of 15 or less, preferably less than 5) to a user in the work space below — around the rim **42**, for example.

(Where no satellites are visible to a user, the invention may operate using only pseudolite signals, as described herein.)

The satellites **3-1:3-K** of any available global navigation satellite system (GNSS) may be incorporated. GPS is the most likely, but GLONASS may be included, as well as any future such systems. The satellites **3-1:3-K** of the GNSS system **6** may respectively broadcast signals **10-1:10-K** and **11-1:11-K**. The signals **10-1:10-K** travel along a straight line towards a pseudolite **1-i** of the pseudolite system **7** while the signals **11-1:11-K** travel along a straight line towards a vehicle MFPS receiver **2**.

The surrounding terrain **41** may block some portion of the GNSS signals **11-1:11-K**, creating the need for the pseudolites. The mobile receiver **2** may use the remaining portion of the GPS signals **11-1:11-K** to

improve the ultimate position solution.

Another portion of the GNSS signals **10-1:10-K** may be used to survey the locations of the highest-elevation pseudolites **1-j** and to synchronize the system. Lower-elevation pseudolites (pseudolites **1-2** and **1-3**, for example) may need signals from upper-elevation pseudolites (pseudolites **1-1** and **1-N**, for example) for survey and synchronization purposes. .

### A Multi-frequency Pseudolite

**Figure 4** illustrates a multi-frequency pseudolite **1-i** according to one embodiment of the invention. A multi-frequency pseudolite **1-i** may include a receive antenna **217**, a multi-frequency reference receiver **201**, a control processor **202**, a reference oscillator **203**, a code generator **204**, a pulse generator **205**, multiple signal generators **210**, a combiner **208** and a transmit antenna **207**. These components may be interconnected as illustrated and as described herein.

The signal generators **210** may produce GNSS-like radio-frequency (RF) signals for the pseudolite **1-i** to broadcast.

The signal generators **210** in the pseudolite **1-i** may be any number. To operate in license-free RF spectrum bands currently available, the number may be four.

**Figure 7** is a table listing candidate frequency sets for three- and four-frequency systems incorporating an embodiment of the invention. For a four-frequency system, two frequencies may be in the 902.0 - 928.0 MHz ISM band , and two frequencies may be in the 2400.0 MHz - 2483.5 MHz ISM band. So as to not disrupt the normal use of any incorporated GNSS (GPS, for example), preferably none of the signal generators operates on any of the GNSS frequencies (L1 (1575.42 MHz) and L2 (1227.60 MHz) for GPS, for example).

A signal generator **210** may include a phase-lock loop (PLL) **211**, a filter **212**, a microwave VCO **213**, a mixer **214**, a second filter **215** and



a switch **216**. These components may be interconnected as illustrated and as described herein.

The PLL **211** of a signal generator **210** may control the frequency produced by that signal generator **210** (the processor **202** controlling the PLL **211**). The reference oscillator **203** may drive the PLL **211**. The reference oscillator may be a low-cost temperature-controlled crystal oscillator (TCXO). The lower-frequency TCXO may stabilize the microwave-frequency carrier signal through the phase-lock loop feedback circuit **211, 212, 213**.

Where the GNSS is GPS, the code generator **204** may generate a gold-code (GPS C/A code) spreading pattern that the mixer **214** mixes with the carrier. Codes other than the GPS C/A codes may be used for the spreading function. Because of the economics that flow from using existing GPS chipsets, however, the GPS C/A codes or the GPS P-codes are the most likely choices for the spreading code.

The code generator **204** may also modulate low-rate data (50 to 1k baud) on the carrier. The modulated data may include the surveyed location of the pseudolite, the pseudolite **1-i**'s reference-oscillator offset from GPS time, and the satellite differential phase corrections and ephemerides. Broadcasting all of this data on the pseudolite's ranging signal (the multiple RF signals) makes a separate differential reference station and subsequent communication link unnecessary. Multiple  $m$  separate data channels allows data to be sent in parallel (e.g., one byte can be distributed over four signal channels in two-bit chunks). This permits data rates up to  $m$  kbaud. Where  $m$  is four, the bandwidth is sufficient to accommodate all of the above data.

The filter **215** filters the mixed carrier, code, and data, and under the control of the pulse generator **205**, the switching circuit **216** passes the filtered mix. Pulsing a pseudolite signal at a short duty cycle (say, 5-10%) and a relatively high power (say, 1-10 milliwatts) may provide benefits when a pseudolite transmits on a GNSS frequency. For example,

pulsing may enable a pseudolite to operate without significant impact on GNSS satellite signals. Also, pulsing may increase the useful spatial range of the pseudolite signal. Without pulsing, the range-ratio over which the pseudolite can be used is roughly 3:1; with pulsing, the range-ratio is greater than 1000:1.

(Where the multiple signals broadcast by the multi-frequency pseudolite are not on the GNSS frequencies, pulsing may not provide the first benefit — but in this instance, the first benefit is no longer required.)

The combiner **208** may sum the multiple signals from the signal generators **210**, and the transmit antenna **207** may then broadcast them. The antenna **207** may be a wide-band antenna or may be separate narrow-band antennae. Whatever the antenna arrangement, coincident phase centers are preferred for all the frequencies involved. Otherwise, the position solution may be more challenging to calculate than presented herein.

A pseudolite **#1-i** may include a multi-frequency reference receiver **2** capable of positioning from multi-frequency pseudolites. First, such a receiver **2** enables the pseudolite **1-i** to automatically survey its location and then broadcast that information via the data message. Second, the receiver **2** enables the pseudolite **1-i** to collect satellite differential correction data and ephemerides (sent via the data message). Third, the receiver **2** provides synchronization for triggering the pulse generator **205**. Since the receiver **2** can use other multi-frequency pseudolites **1-i** to determine its position, the pseudolite **1-i** may be placed in an area of insufficient satellite coverage yet still be fully operational.

For indoor applications of the invention, the integrated receiver **201** may be omitted by connecting all the pseudolites to a common oscillator. The locations of the pseudolites in an indoor setting are surveyed by means other than GNSS, and the survey data entered — manually, for example — into the pseudolites for re-broadcast to users.

## A Multi-frequency Receiver

**Figure 5** illustrates a multi-frequency receiver **2** or **201** according to one embodiment of the invention. The receiver **2** of **Figure 5** is the counterpart to the pseudolite **1-i** of **Figure 4**, and both assume that GPS is the operative GNSS.

(It is worth noting here that a multi-frequency receiver in the context of this patent pertains to a receiver capable of interpreting the signals of a multi-frequency pseudolite as described herein. A multi-frequency receiver is different from a "dual-frequency" or "L1/L2" GPS receiver, which is a well-known technology.)

The receiver **2** may include a receive antenna **317**, a splitter **316**, multiple up/down converters **315**, respective multiple GNSS receivers **301** and a navigation processor **305**. These components may be interconnected as illustrated and as described herein.

A standard L1 GPS receiver with a frequency converter and modified internal software can interpret a non-L1 frequency signal just as if it were broadcast on L1. Thus, a multi-frequency receiver may be built up from a set of such L1 receivers and frequency converters. Such a receiver may include five conventional GPS receivers **305** under control of the navigation processor **305**. Each of four of the receivers **305** may handle a respective one of the four signals transmitted by the pseudolites **1-i**. A frequency converter **315** between the antenna **317** and the front end of a GPS receiver **301** may modulate the incoming signal up or down to GPS L1 so the receiver **301** can work with the signal as if it were broadcast on L1. The fifth GPS receiver **301** may directly measure the satellite signals and does not require a frequency converter.

More generally, the multi-frequency receiver **2** may include  $m + 1$  conventional GNSS receivers **305** under control of the navigation processor **305**. Each one of  $m$  of the GNSS receivers **305** handles a respective one of the  $m$  signals transmitted by the pseudolites **1-i**. The

frequency converter **315** modulates the incoming signal up or down to a predetermined standard GNSS frequency so the standard receiver **301** can work with the signal as if it were broadcast on that predetermined standard GNSS frequency.

5                    Like the pseudolite transmit antenna **207**, the receiver antenna **317** may be a wide-band antenna or separate narrow-band antennae, in any event with a coincident phase center for all frequencies involved. A low-noise amplifier (LNA, not shown) may amplify the received signal, and the five-way ( $m + 1$ ) splitter may supply a signal to each of the GPS  
10 receivers **301**.

A GPS receiver **301** may include a RF front-end and sampler **302**, correlator channels **303** and a phase processor **304**.

The GPS receivers **301** may not process a position solution as a conventional GPS receiver does but may collect phase measurements in  
15 the form of code and carrier phases. The RF front-end and sampler **302**, correlator channels **303**, and phase processor **304** perform this function as is well known in the art. The navigation processor **305** may run a process **307** that collects code and carrier phase measurements **306** from the receivers and sends them to the navigation solution process **308**. The receiver **2**  
20 outputs the navigation solution.

In processes **311** and **314**, the navigation processor **305** may use the navigation solution to command the GPS receivers as to which PRN codes to search for and which Doppler offsets to use in searching for additional satellites and pseudolites.

25

### **Additional Embodiments**

**Figure 2** illustrates an open-pit mine incorporating another embodiment of the invention. The embodiment may include a pit **4**, a mining vehicle **5**, a global navigation satellite system **6** of the art and a  
30 multi-frequency pseudolite system **7**, as well as a multi-frequency reference station **14** separate from the multi-frequency pseudolites of the MFPS **7**.

The reference station **14** includes a reference receiver **2**. (This embodiment may prove technically easier to implement in a real application of the invention than that of **Figure 1**).

With line-of-sight visibility to all of the same pseudolites and satellites that all of the mobile receivers have available, the reference station **14** may serve the entire work space. The reference receiver **2** may collect differential code and carrier phase information and satellite ephemerides and distribute these to users' mobile receivers **2** via a radio communications link **13** independent of the pseudolites.

**Figure 3** illustrates an indoor positioning system incorporating an embodiment of the invention. In this instance, no satellites are visible. An oscillator **15** connected through one or more cables **16** to pseudolites **1-i** may synchronize the pseudolites **1-i**.

#### **15 Position Solution Using Multi-frequency Pseudolites and Receivers**

A multi-frequency GNSS receiver **2** can calculate its position by measuring the code and carrier phases transmitted by a set **7** of multi-frequency pseudolites **1-i** and processing these phase measurements with the algorithms described herein. The position calculation differs from the conventional GNSS position determination. (While any GNSS may be used to illustrate position solving, the following explanation assumes the GPS.)

Existing GPS receiver technology typically provides code-phase measurements with meter-level noise figures and carrier-phase measurements with centimeter-level noise figures. With four or more multi-frequency pseudolites in view and with good geometry, the multi-frequency GPS receiver can employ carrier-phase measurements and immediately achieve centimeter-level position solutions.

In embodiments described above, each pseudolite **1-i** includes a reference receiver **2**. An included reference receiver **2** can be assumed to be zero range from its respective pseudolite **1-i** and to provide

a direct measure of the difference between the local oscillator **203** and GPS time. From these assumptions, it follows that all phases between the pseudolite and a user can be considered differentially corrected and the only time bias in the system is between the mobile receiver and GPS time.

5                    The parameters of interest in the position calculations are as follow:

	$R_i$	True range between the mobile user and pseudolite $i$ .
	$\rho_i$	Precise range derived from phase measurements.
10	$f_j$	Frequency of carrier signal $j$ , $j \in \{1 \dots N_f\}$ .
	$\lambda_j$	Wavelength of carrier signal $j$ , $\lambda_j = c/f_j$ , where $c$ is the speed of light.
	$\phi_{ij}$	Code phase to pseudolite $i$ for frequency $j$ (differentially corrected).
15	$\psi_{ij}$	Carrier phase to pseudolite $i$ for frequency $j$ (differentially corrected).
	$\tau$	Range-equivalent of the offset between the mobile-receiver clock and GPS time.
	$M_{ij}$	Code-phase cycle ambiguity from pseudolite $i$ to mobile receiver for frequency $j$ .
20	$N_{ij}$	Carrier-phase cycle ambiguity from pseudolite $i$ to mobile receiver for frequency $j$ .
	$N_f$	Number of frequencies implemented in the ranging signal.
	$\sigma_{cd}$	Variance of the code phase measurements (assumed same for all carrier frequencies).
25	$\sigma_j$	Variance of the carrier phase measurements for frequency $j$ .
	$w_{cd}$	Code phase noise as zero-mean Gaussian with variance $\sigma_{cd}$ .
	$w_j$	Carrier phase noise as zero-mean Gaussian with variance $\sigma_j$ .

30                    The first step of the positioning algorithm determines the precise ranges between the mobile user and each of the pseudolites. "Precise

range" in this instance means that the range accuracy is established to better than one wavelength of the highest frequency employed. The second step extracts the position coordinates from the precise-range measurements. The second step is fairly well understood in the art —  
 5 employing a non-linear least-squares algorithm, for example — and so only the first step is covered in detail.

The range  $R_i$  from the pseudolite to receiver station is the magnitude of the difference between vectors  $P$  and  $Q_i$  and is related to the code phase measurement  $\phi_{ij}$  by equation (1):

10

$$\phi_{ij} = R_i + \tau + \lambda_{cd} M_{ij} + w_{cd} \quad (1)$$

As noted above, for the configuration under consideration,  $\phi_{ij}$  is a differential code phase, and  $\tau$  is the offset between the mobile receiver's  
 15 clock and GPS "true time."  $M_{ij}$  is the code cycle ambiguity from the pseudolite  $i$  to the mobile receiver for frequency  $j$ .

For most applications of the present invention,  $M_{ij}$  can safely be assumed to be zero because the scale of the invention will be less than one code phase cycle (300km) in any dimension. This simplifies the code  
 20 measurement equation to equation (2):

$$\phi_{ij} = R_i + \tau + w_{cd} \quad (2)$$

The carrier-phase measurements are similar in form, but the cycle ambiguities  $N_{ij}$  must be resolved. Again, due to the co-location of the  
 25 reference receiver with the pseudolite, these are differential carrier phases and the time bias is between the mobile receiver and true time:

$$\psi_{ij} = R_i + \tau + \lambda_j N_{ij} + w_j \quad (3)$$

The time bias,  $\tau$ , is common to all measurements and can be omitted from the equations for the time being. It will be re-introduced after the precise range is resolved:

$$\phi_{ij} = R_i + w_{cd} \quad (4)$$

$$\psi_{ij} = R_i + \lambda_j N_{ij} + w_j \quad (5)$$

10

Resolving the precise ranges involves superimposing all the probability density functions for the various carrier cycle ambiguities from a given pseudolite, centered around the code phase measurement and enveloped by the code-phase probability density function. The probability density function for a given carrier can be viewed as a comb with spacing between the "teeth" equal to the wavelength of that carrier. By superimposing combs of different spacing (the probability density functions for the various carrier signals), only one set of "teeth" overlap, representing the most probable solution. The width of the comb (and hence the total number of "teeth") is bounded by the probability density function for the code phase measurement.

The starting point for the resolution process is the approximate range provided by the code phases. If there are  $N_f$  frequencies involved, then there will be  $N_f$  code phase measurements from a given pseudolite.  $\phi_{io}$  is the average of the code phases between pseudolite  $i$  and the mobile receiver:



$$\phi_{io} = \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \phi_{ij} \quad (6)$$

Since the raw carrier phase measurements contain an arbitrary cycle ambiguity, this ambiguity is stripped off from the fractional phase and replaced with the closest number of integer wavelengths as provided by the code phase estimate  $\phi_{io}$ . The derived quantity is the centered carrier phase  $\phi_{cij}$ . In the following equation, the modulus operator strips off the integers from the raw carrier phase measurement to leave a fractional phase, and the *floor* function appends the range of the closest integer to the code phase estimate  $\phi_{io}$ :

$$\psi_{cij} = \text{mod}(\psi_{ij}, \lambda_j) + \lambda_j \text{floor}(\phi_{io} / \lambda_j) \quad (7)$$

The centered carrier phase  $\phi_{cij}$  serves as the location to center the probability density function for carrier  $j$ . After all of the probability density functions are superimposed, the highest probability integer indicates an offset from the original code phase estimate  $\phi_{io}$ . This offset is applied to the original code phase estimate to achieve the precise range  $\rho$ .

Sampled sequences of the probability density functions for all the carriers can be generated and then multiplied together to determine the highest probable cycle ambiguity solution. The range (length) of the sampled sequence is determined by the code phase variance  $\sigma_{cd}$ . The sequence is preferably at least 2-3 variances wide. The resolution of the samples is preferably finer than the resolution of the highest frequency carrier phase measurement (typically less than a centimeter). The sampled probability density function for pseudolite  $i$ , frequency  $j$  is represented as  $p_{ijk}$ , where  $k$  is the sample index and is directly related to the range by  $k \cdot dr$ , where  $dr$  is the sample resolution. Assuming the carrier phase

measurement noise is zero-mean Gaussian with variance  $\sigma_j^2$ , the probability density function  $p_{ijk}$  is the superposition of independent Gaussian distributions, each with variance  $\sigma_j^2$  and separation between centers of one carrier wavelength  $\lambda_j$ . Additionally, the entire distribution is shifted by the difference between the centered carrier phase,  $\phi_{cij}$ , and the code phase estimate  $\phi_{io}$ .  $p_{ijk}$  can be represented mathematically as equation (8):

$$p_{ijk} = \sum_n e^{-\frac{(k \cdot dr - \lambda_j \cdot n + \phi_{cij} - \phi_{io})^2}{2\sigma_j^2}} \quad (8)$$

- 10 Superimposing the probability density functions for all of the carriers  $j$  for a given pseudolite  $i$ , and enveloping the code probability density function results in the probability density product sequence,  $P_{ik}$ .

- $P_{ik}$  is characterized by a unique maximum value or spike that indicates the location in the sequence of the correct integer solution.  $P_{ik}$  is formed by multiplying the code probability density sequence and the  $p_{ijk}$  sequences together:

$$P_{ik} = e^{-\frac{(k \cdot dr)^2}{2\sigma_{cd}^2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} p_{ijk} \quad (9)$$

- 20 By finding the index,  $m$ , of the maximum value of  $P_{ik}$ , the offset between the code phase estimate and the precise range is determined to be  $m \cdot dr$ . The precise range is thus computed as in equation 10:

$$\rho_i = \phi_{io} - m \cdot dr \quad (10)$$

25

The precise ranges between all pseudolites and the mobile receiver can be found in this manner. It should be noted that the precise

range established here is actually the precise range plus the time bias that was omitted early in the derivation. The procedure for extracting the position solution and the time bias from a set of precise range measurements such as these is well known in the art.

5                   For universal application of the invention, preferably at least five pseudolites are in view at all times. This arrangement provides the highest performance available from the system. It is useful to know, however, the expected performance when fewer than five pseudolites are in view.

10                   **Figure 6** shows a performance matrix for all combinations of satellites and pseudolites. When at least five pseudolites are in view, the user receiver can expect instantaneous integer acquisition, full integrity, and the highest level of accuracy. If four pseudolites and at least one  
15 integrity is not guaranteed without resorting to motion-based algorithms to independently resolve the cycle ambiguities for the satellites. These algorithms may take 10 to 20 minutes.

                  The need for integrity is highest when the navigation sensor is used in feedback control of safety-critical machinery. For existing man-in-  
20 the-loop operations this is not a firm requirement, but it can serve to improve the safety of these operations nonetheless. Full integrity monitoring does provide the means for achieving higher levels of automation in many systems. Situations in which there are fewer than four pseudolites but at least five ranging sources in total can achieve full accuracy and integrity,  
25 but resort to satellite motion to resolve cycle ambiguities.

                  The expected performance of any set of frequencies to uniquely discern the correct integers can be determined by computing the probability of a cycle ambiguity occurring over the range of the code phase accuracy. An example of a candidate frequency set for each of a  
30 three-frequency and a four-frequency architecture are listed in **Figure 7**. The table lists a fourth band designated for ISM in the 5725 - 5875 MHz

range which remains as an option if the other bands are overcrowded by other radio equipment in the area of the application.

The ability to reject erroneous integer cycle solutions using these frequency sets can be compared with the conventional GPS  
5 widelane technique by observing the graphs of the cycle ambiguity probability versus range. The cycle ambiguity probability for a single-frequency system is shown in **Figure 8** as a nominal case.

This semi-logarithmic graph shows that carrier phase alone  
10 does not distinguish between being at range 0 as opposed to range 0.19 m, 0.38 m, 0.57 m, etc. Each spike (except for the one at zero) is a potentially wrong selection for the carrier cycle resolution algorithm. The only discernment of range comes from the code phase probability envelope, which in this case is modeled conservatively as a normal distribution with a standard deviation of 3m. The width of each spike  
15 represents the carrier phase noise, modeled here as a normal distribution with a standard deviation of 4% of a carrier cycle, or 0.75 cm for L1. The ability to suppress cycle ambiguities in a multi-frequency system is a function of code and carrier phase noises, as well as the frequencies themselves.

20 **Figure 9** shows some benefits of the dual-frequency (or widelaning) GPS receiver. The superimposed cycle ambiguity probability functions of L1 and L2 reduce the possible integer solutions substantially, but not enough to completely obviate the need for satellite motion to discern the correct cycle. This is therefore still not adequate for a high-integrity  
25 motionless solution.

Even with no motion in the system, the three and four-frequency designs can reduce the probability of selecting an incorrect cycle ambiguity to less than 1 in 10 and 1 in 500, respectively, as shown in **Figures 10** and **11**. This substantial improvement over the dual-frequency  
30 system provides the ability to resolve position to better than 10 cm in one measurement period. The 1 in 10 possibility for a cycle ambiguity error in

the three-frequency system is deemed marginal performance, although it is worth noting that redundancy provided by five or more signal sources (i.e. any combination of satellites and pseudolites) establishes the ultimate integrity of the navigation solution. Integrity monitoring via redundant measurements readily discerns the 1 in 500 event of an incorrect cycle ambiguity in the four-frequency case.

The present invention combines and extends the pseudolite technique with the dual frequency satellite technique to create apparatus and methods for rapid integer ambiguity resolution. The invention further enhances the integrity and speed of the technique by increasing the number of coherent signal sources to three, four or even more frequencies. The invention uses multi-frequency pseudolites to accelerate and facilitate the resolution of integer wavelength ambiguities in carrier-based differential GPS applications. Consequently, integer ambiguities can be determined extremely quickly and without any residual uncertainty as to the accuracy or fidelity of the wavelength ambiguity determination. This eliminates augmenting the resolution process with other techniques requiring satellite or vehicle motion. (Satellite motion takes time to produce a useful geometry change, while vehicle motion may not be useful or practicable in applications using slow or ponderous equipment.)

In one embodiment, the invention is a multi-frequency pseudolite that provides the signal-in-space needed by a receiver to determine the cycle ambiguity of the microwave carrier frequencies. The cycle ambiguity is determined with very high and quantifiable accuracy and integrity.

In another embodiment, the invention is a microwave-frequency receiver that leverages a multi-frequency pseudolite signal, as well as standard GPS satellite signals, to rapidly determine its position to the accuracy typical of carrier-phase differential GPS techniques.

In another embodiment, the invention leverages conventional GPS equipment, including GPS receivers and pseudolites, to reduce the cost of the overall system.

5           Indeed, the invention now being fully described, many changes and modifications that can be made thereto without departing from the spirit or scope of the appended claims will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art.

10

1041343v1:010124 18:26